

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/109,78	34 07/02/	98 ОКАМОТО		Т	ADACHI-P134U
Γ		CHA (0500		EXA	EXAMINER	
	QM12/0509 DAVIS AND BUJOLD				CHENG.J	
	FOURTH FI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		MMERCIAL ST ER NH 03101			3713 DATE MAILED:	3 05/09/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/109,784**

Joe H. Cheng

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 3713

Okamoto

Responsive to communication(s) filed on ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. is/are rejected. Claim(s) X Claim(s) 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14, 18, 20, and 21 is/are objected to. ☐ Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 12, 15-17, and 19 are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). received. IX received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 08/232,862 received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ■ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/109,784

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "This is a Continuation-in-Part of Application Ser. No. 08/642,560 filed May 3, 1996." on Pg. 1, lines 5 and 6 should be recited as --This is a Continuation-In-Part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/642,560, filed May 3, 1996, now U.S. Patent No. 5,775,995.--, so as to clarify the status. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3, 10 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: The recitation of the "third clock means" and the "interference stop instruction means" as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the respective parent claims.

These claim should be amended as being respectively depended on claims 2, 9 and 16.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit:

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 12, 15-17 and 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3 of U. S. Patent No. 5,775,995 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The communication system for transmitting at least one of the program, the data, and a combination of the program and data (such as software of video games or karaoke music) from the host facility to a communication terminal device.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit:

5. Claims 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14, 18, 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joe H. Cheng whose telephone number is (703) 308-2667.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Joe H. Cheng Primary Examiner

Joe H. Cheng April 27, 2000